



UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE
United States Patent and Trademark Office
Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS
P.O. Box 1450
Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450
www.uspto.gov

| APPLICATION NO. | FILING DATE | FIRST NAMED INVENTOR | ATTORNEY DOCKET NO. | CONFIRMATION NO. |
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|
|-----------------|-------------|----------------------|---------------------|------------------|

10/797,481

03/10/2004

John G. Stark

3223.01US02

3292

24113 7590 01/30/2007

PATTERSON, THUENTE, SKAAR & CHRISTENSEN, P.A.

4800 IDS CENTER

80 SOUTH 8TH STREET

MINNEAPOLIS, MN 55402-2100

EXAMINER

REIMERS, ANNETTE R

ART UNIT

PAPER NUMBER

3733

| SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD OF RESPONSE | MAIL DATE | DELIVERY MODE |
|--|-----------|---------------|
|--|-----------|---------------|

3 MONTHS.

01/30/2007

PAPER

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire 6 MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.

Office Action Summary

Application No.

10/797,481

Applicant(s)

STARK, JOHN G.

Examiner

Annette R. Reimers

Art Unit

3733

-- The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address --

Period for Reply

A SHORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY IS SET TO EXPIRE 3 MONTH(S) OR THIRTY (30) DAYS, WHICHEVER IS LONGER, FROM THE MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION.

- Extensions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.136(a). In no event, however, may a reply be timely filed after SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- If NO period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period will apply and will expire SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication.
- Failure to reply within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute, cause the application to become ABANDONED (35 U.S.C. § 133). Any reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing date of this communication, even if timely filed, may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).

Status

- 1) ☒ Responsive to communication(s) filed on 26 July 2006 and 07 November 2006.
- 2a) ☐ This action is **FINAL**. 2b) ☒ This action is non-final.
- 3) ☐ Since this application is in condition for allowance except for formal matters, prosecution as to the merits is closed in accordance with the practice under *Ex parte Quayle*, 1935 C.D. 11, 453 O.G. 213.

Disposition of Claims

- 4) ☒ Claim(s) 21-24 and 28-36 is/are pending in the application.
- 4a) Of the above claim(s) _____ is/are withdrawn from consideration.
- 5) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are allowed.
- 6) ☒ Claim(s) 21-24 and 28-36 is/are rejected.
- 7) ☐ Claim(s) _____ is/are objected to.
- 8) ☐ Claim(s) _____ are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.

Application Papers

- 9) ☐ The specification is objected to by the Examiner.
- 10) ☒ The drawing(s) filed on 13 January 2006 is/are: a) ☒ accepted or b) ☐ objected to by the Examiner.
Applicant may not request that any objection to the drawing(s) be held in abeyance. See 37 CFR 1.85(a).
Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correction is required if the drawing(s) is objected to. See 37 CFR 1.121(d).
- 11) ☐ The oath or declaration is objected to by the Examiner. Note the attached Office Action or form PTO-152.

Priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119

- 12) ☐ Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f).
- a) ☐ All b) ☐ Some * c) ☐ None of:
- ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received.
 - ☐ Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No. _____.
 - ☐ Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)).

* See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.

Attachment(s)

- 1) ☒ Notice of References Cited (PTO-892)
- 2) ☐ Notice of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948)
- 3) ☒ Information Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO/SB/08)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date 9/12/06
- 4) ☐ Interview Summary (PTO-413)
Paper No(s)/Mail Date. _____
- 5) ☐ Notice of Informal Patent Application
- 6) ☐ Other: _____

DETAILED ACTION

Election/Restrictions

Applicant's election without traverse of Invention II, claims 31-24 and 28-36, in the reply filed on November 7, 2006 is acknowledged. Examiner further acknowledges applicant's cancellation of the non-elected claims, i.e. 12-15, 17-20 and 25-27.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 102

The following is a quotation of the appropriate paragraphs of 35 U.S.C. 102 that form the basis for the rejections under this section made in this Office action:

A person shall be entitled to a patent unless –

(b) the invention was patented or described in a printed publication in this or a foreign country or in public use or on sale in this country, more than one year prior to the date of application for patent in the United States.

Claims 21-23, 28, 29, 32, 33, 35 and 36 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 102(b) as being anticipated by the Cain (U.S. Patent Number 5,334,205).

Cain discloses a method for immobilizing a patient's sacroiliac joint comprising inserting an immobilization/fusion element within the opening of the sacroiliac joint through a cannula, e.g. 68, that is placed through an incision formed in the patient, drilling a region at the sacroiliac joint through the cannula, and placing a guide pin, e.g. 70, through the cannula, further including a trocar, e.g. 66, self-tapping screws, e.g. 58, and a drilling guide (see figures 3-5 and column 4, lines 4-48).

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 103

The following is a quotation of 35 U.S.C. 103(a) which forms the basis for all obviousness rejections set forth in this Office action:

(a) A patent may not be obtained though the invention is not identically disclosed or described as set forth in section 102 of this title, if the differences between the subject matter sought to be patented and the prior art are such that the subject matter as a whole would have been obvious at the time the invention was made to a person having ordinary skill in the art to which said subject matter pertains. Patentability shall not be negated by the manner in which the invention was made.

Claim 24 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cain (U.S. Patent Number 5,334,205) in view of Chang et al. (U.S. Patent Publication Number 20020099288)

Cain discloses the claimed method except for the use of real time imaging. Chang et al. discloses the use of real time imaging in order to guide the placement and orientation of an object (see paragraph 0013 and paragraph 0032). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to incorporate in the method of Cain the use of imaging in view of Chang et al., in order to guide the placement and orientation of the pin.

Claims 30 and 31 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cain (U.S. Patent Number 5,334,205) in view of Bowman et al. (U.S. Patent Number 4,950,270).

Cain discloses the claimed invention except for the screw being associated with or coated with a biologically active agent. Bowman discloses a screw and teaches that the screw is constructed and/or coated with a biocompatible material and teaches the use of biocompatible material to allow for permanent or long term emplacement in association with cancellous bone and soft tissues and to promote osteointegration (see

Art Unit: 3733

Column 3, lines 13-17). It would have been obvious to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the device of Cain with the screw being associated with or coated with a biologically active agent in view of Bowman, in order to allow for permanent or long term emplacement in association with cancellous bone and soft tissues and to promote osteointegration.

Claim 34 is rejected under 35 U.S.C. 103(a) as being unpatentable over Cain (U.S. Patent Number 5,334,205).

Cain discloses the claimed invention except for the immobilization/fusion element being an unthreaded pin. It would have been an obvious matter of design choice to one skilled in the art at the time the invention was made to construct the immobilization/fusion element without any threads, since applicant has not disclosed that such solve any stated problem or is anything more than one of numerous shapes or configurations a person ordinary skill in the art would find obvious for the purpose of providing an immobilization/fusion element for the joint. In re Dailey and Eilers, 149 USPQ 47 (1966).

Response to Arguments

Applicant's arguments filed on July 26, 2006 have been fully considered, but they are not persuasive. Examiner respectfully disagrees with applicant regarding the Cain reference. Applicant is claiming inserting an immobilization/fusion element within the opening of the sacroiliac joint. The immobilization/fusion element of Cain, e.g. 58, extends within the opening of the sacroiliac joint (see figure 5). Applicant is not claiming that the immobilization/fusion element (screw) is placed into sacroiliac joint or the

Art Unit: 3733

immobilization/fusion element is driven in the space between the illium and the sacrum.

Furthermore, applicant is not claiming any specific dimensions or axes.

Conclusion

The prior art made of record and not relied upon is considered pertinent to applicant's disclosure. See PTO 892 for art cited of interest.

Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Annette R. Reimers whose telephone number is (571) 272-7135. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday.

If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Eduardo Robert can be reached on (571) 272-4719. The fax phone number for the organization where this application or proceeding is assigned is 571-273-8300.

Information regarding the status of an application may be obtained from the Patent Application Information Retrieval (PAIR) system. Status information for published applications may be obtained from either Private PAIR or Public PAIR. Status information for unpublished applications is available through Private PAIR only. For more information about the PAIR system, see <http://pair-direct.uspto.gov>. Should you have questions on access to the Private PAIR system, contact the Electronic Business Center (EBC) at 866-217-9197 (toll-free). If you would like assistance from a USPTO Customer Service Representative or access to the automated information system, call 800-786-9199 (IN USA OR CANADA) or 571-272-1000.


EDUARDO C. ROBERT
SUPERVISORY PATENT EXAMINER

AR
